

BREAKOUT SESSION 2

Personalised Risk Scores in Clinical Trials: Opportunities and Challenges

Chair: Patrick Vrijlandt (EMA)

Rapporteur: Geeta Gulati

1. Evaluate the **strengths and weaknesses of current cardiovascular toxicity risk models** in the context of modern cancer treatments and diverse patient groups
2. Identify **practical and methodological obstacles hindering the incorporation of personalised cardiovascular risk assessment in oncology trials and routine care.**
3. Suggest **practical measures** to enhance the development, validation, and adoption of personalised cardiovascular risk assessment tools in upcoming research.

CS224838



"The police called, we're taking you out of the clinical trial and putting you in a criminal trial."

Personalised Risk Scores in Clinical Trials: Opportunities and Challenges

Introduction

- GE- healthcare: No experience. Key input to make tool set
- Patient view: No experience. Look at the pt
- El-physiology: No experience.
- Preventive cardiology: Exercise. Do an evaluation without risk scores.
- Cardiovascular imaging: No experience
- EMA: No experience. Benefit risk assessment. New scores are coming.
- Cardiologist: Used for surveillance. Used in clinical setting. Not much experience in clinical trials.
- Haematologist: Many risk scores. More of a guidelines.
- Philips: What is personalised risk scores, more of risk stratification. Interventionalist have them for TAVR vs Surgery etc.
- Editor EHJ: Not personalized, its stratified. Good for trials, because trials are not personalized.
- Basic scientist UK: No experience.

Opportunities

- Scores with continuable variables
- Input of different data and AI generated prediction
- Genomic tools
- Online calculators
- To be used to develop new therapies/strategies
- Simplifying
- What to do with those who are not included
- Clinical implication of scores

Challenges

- Details EF 48% do you round up or down?
- What imaging modality to use?
- Load dependency
- Is it clinically relevant – look at the patients
- Only perfect patient is included
- Categorization is a problem (cells)
- Validations?
- Collaboration between different fields to get all the data
- Validation
- What is the implication for the patient with the risk score. Do you lower risk scores or the risk

Risk scores at baseline for clinical trials

- Personalized risk assessment for off tumour off target effect

Identify practical and methodological obstacles hindering the incorporation of personalised cardiovascular risk assessment in oncology trials and routine care.

- Clinical
- Scientific
- Workload (we don't know what's normal, biomarkers)
- Cost



- Risk scores are not personalized
- Risk stratification vs personalized risk scores